### 

MDL Privilege Number	Production Beg Bates	Date	Custodian	Author	Recipient	сс	Description	Privilege Claim	PEC's Challenge	RS Response
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5472		11/4/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery ruligs.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5473		11/4/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery ruligs.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5474		11/5/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery ruligs.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5475		11/5/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery ruligs.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5476		11/5/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery ruligs. No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5477		12/28/2016	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	· ·	Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL PRIV 5478		12/29/2016	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	-	Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5479			Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Edits to draft W. Va. AG press release re settlement of litigation, prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5480		1/6/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel		Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5481		1/9/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.  Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5482		1/9/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5491		8/24/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed on distributor role in the supply chain prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document

### Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 2 of 26 PageID #: 1638

	<u> </u>	ı				INO recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	ı
				Draft Op-Ed on distributor role in the		Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				supply chain prepared at the direction	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and	
			Weissman,	of the ABC Legal Department for review	Privilege; Work	not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5492	0/24/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	by counsel		discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
ABDCIVIDL_PRIV_5492	8/24/2017	weissman, G.	Gabriei	by couriser	Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	and will produce the document
				Draft Op-Ed on distributor role in the		Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				supply chain prepared at the direction	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and	
			Weissman,	of the ABC Legal Department for review	Privilege; Work	not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5493	9/12/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	by counsel	Product	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
7.55 C.115 C_1 1.11 C_5 1.55	3/12/2017	Weissman, G.	GGBTTCT	by counse.	110000	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	and win produce the document
				Draft messages and talking points for		Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				Hill meetings relating to opioids,	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and	
			Weissman,	prepared at the direction of the ABC	Privilege; Work	not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5494	9/26/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	Legal Department for review by counse		discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
		,					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
				Draft internal messaging regarding		No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	
				recent media coverage of distributors		Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				and the opioid crisis, prepared at the	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and	
			Weissman,	direction of the ABC Legal Department	Privilege; Work	not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5498	10/14/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	for review by counsel	Product	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	
				Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of		Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				the ABC Legal Department for review b		attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and	
			Weissman,	counsel in connection with 60 minutes	Privilege; Work	not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5503	11/4/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	coverage	Product	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
				Draft PowerPoint presentation		No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	
				analyzing Washington Post/60 Minutes	A44	Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
			14/-:	claims regarding lobbying expenses,	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
			Weissman,	prepared at the drection of the ABC	Privilege; Work	not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5504	11/6/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	Legal Department for reviwe by counse	Product	discovery rulings.  No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	and will produce the document
						Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				Draft press release prepared at the	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and	
			Weissman.	direction of the ABC Legal Department	Privilege; Work	not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5506	11/7/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	for review by counsel	Product	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
ABDCIVIDE_FRIV_3300	11/7/2017	weissman, G.	Gabrier	Tot Teview by Couriser	FIOUUCI	discovery runnigs.	and will produce the document
							ABDC maintains the privilege designation
						No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	but will produce the document in a
				Redacted draft package of media		Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	redacted form. Redacted portion reflects
				materials prepared for the board of	Attorney-Client		legal advice/strategy from in-house
			Weissman,	directors reflecting legal advice and	Privilege; Work	not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	counsel. Description revised to reflect
ABDCMDL PRIV 5508	11/9/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	strategy of the ABC Legal Department.	Product	discovery rulings.	same.
ABBCIVIDE_FRIV_5508	11/0/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabrier	strategy of the ABC Legal Department.	rioddct	discovery runnigs.	same.
							ABDC maintains the privilege designation
						No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	but will produce the document in a
				Redacted draft package of media		Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	redacted form. Redacted portion reflects
				materials prepared for the board of	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and	legal advice/strategy from in-house
1			Weissman,	directors reflecting legal advice and	Privilege; Work	not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	counsel. Description revised to reflect
ABDCMDL PRIV 5514	11/9/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	strategy of the ABC Legal Department.	Product	discovery rulings.	same.
	11,5/2017		500.101	and a second control of the second control o		No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	
1						Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
				Draft press release prepared at the	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and	
			Weissman,	direction of the ABC Legal Department	Privilege; Work	not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5519	11/28/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	for review by counsel	Product	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
	,,	,		,		No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	p
						Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
1				Draft press release prepared at the	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and	
1			Weissman,	direction of the ABC Legal Department	Privilege; Work	not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5520	11/29/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel	for review by counsel	Product	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
_ = = :	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1		p

# Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 3 of 26 PageID #: 1639

		1			1			INIO PAPINIANTE INDITTINA - LIISTPINIITIAN NOT INANTITIAN	
								Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
						Draft press release prepared at the	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and	
			Weissman,			direction of the ABC Legal Department	Privilege; Work	not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5521	11/30/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel			for review by counsel	Product	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
	==,==,===							No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.	
								Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
						Draft press release prepared at the	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and	
			Weissman,			direction of the ABC Legal Department	Privilege; Work	not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5525	12/6/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel			for review by counsel	Product	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
								No recipients identified. Distribution not identified.  Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific	
						Draft press release prepared at the	Attorney-Client	attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and	
			Weissman,			direction of the ABC Legal Department	Privilege; Work	not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5526	12/12/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel			for review by counsel	Product	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
ABBENBE_I NIV_5320	12/12/2017	vvcissinari, G.	Gubrier			TOT TEVIEW BY COURSE!	Troduct	discovery runnigs.	and will produce the document
						Draft talking points and key messaging			
						document relating to opioid litigation			
						and media scrutiny, prepared at the		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Specific author	
			Reservoir			direction fo the ABC Legal Deaprtment	Attorney-Client	not identified. Specific recipients not identified. Privilege	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5528	2/6/2018	Weissman, G.	Communications	Healthcare Distribution Alliance		for review by counsel	Privilege	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
									ABDC maintains the privilege designation
						Redacted email chain among client			but will produce the document in a
						employees and in-house counsel		Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent.	redacted form. Redacted
						(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing		Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	communications contain/reflect requests
						legal strategy regarding responses to	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	for legal advice from in-house counsel.
ABDCMDL PRIV 5557	3/22/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel		media reporting and inquiries.	Privilege	rulings.	Description revised to reflect same.
ABBENIDE_I NIV_5557	3/22/2017	Tallatily, Braa	ranarry, braa	Weissman, Gabrier		Email among client employees	TTIVILEGE	runings.	Description revised to reflect sume.
						discussing legal advice from in-house		Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent.	
						counsel (E. Campbell/Esq.) regarding		Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	
						overview of the severity of the opioid	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5558	4/20/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad		crisis.	Privilege	rulings.	and will produce the document
								Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent.	
				Zimmerman, Chris; Reed, Chuck;		Email from client to in-house counsel		Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	A DD C with drawn the contribution of city and
	- / /		L	Kounelis, Peter; May, David; Mays,	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Campbell,	seeking legal advice regarding opioid	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5566	5/11/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Steve; Ross, Paul	Elizabeth/Esq.; Weissman, Gabriel	legislation.	Privilege	rulings.	and will produce the document
									ABDC maintains the privilege designation
						Redacted email chain among client			but will produce the document in a
						employees discussing legal advice from		Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent.	redacted form. Redacted
						in-house counsel (Campbell,		Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	communications discuss legal advice from
						Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding ongoing	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	in-house counsel. Description revised to
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5568	5/11/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	May, David		litigation and proposed legislation.	Privilege	rulings.	reflect same.
				Zimmerman, Chris; Iviay, David;					
				Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter; Mays,				Laura distribution in disease la 1, 5, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1	
				Steve; Ross, Paul; Norton, Rita;		Empil from client to be become		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Subject	
				Heller, Stacie; Campbell,		Email from client to in-house counsel	Attornov Client	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5570	E/22/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Elizabeth/Esq.; Weissman, Gabriel; Chou, John/Esq.		seeking legal advice regarding response to opioid policy steering committee.	Attorney-Client Privilege	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
ADDCINIDE_FRIV_33/0	3/23/2017	ranailly, blau	raliality, brau	Cilou, Joilit/Esq.		to opioid policy steering committee.	riiviiege	runigs.	and win produce the document
									A DDC magintains the multiple as deplayed in
									ABDC maintains the privilege designation
						Redacted email chain among client			but will produce the document in a
						employees and in-house counsel		Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent.	redacted form. Redacted
						(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing		Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	communications contain/reflect requests
				Nightengale, Brian; Weissman,		legal strategy regarding responses to	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	for legal advice from in-house counsel.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5571	5/30/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Gabriel; Lane, Christine	Moyer, Lauren	media reporting and inquiries.	Privilege	rulings.	Description revised to reflect same.
						Esseil annous aliant		Address to the state of the sta	
						Email among client employees	1	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
		1		i contract of the contract of	i e	discussing legal advice from in-house	1	Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	i
			Nightongolo				Attornov Client	logal one Drivilage calim is inconsistent/ prior eli	ARDC withdraws the privilege decignation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5579	0/16/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Nightengale, Brian	Tallamy, Brad		counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid task force.	Attorney-Client Privilege	legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document

## Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 4 of 26 PageID #: 1640

ABDCMDL_PRIV_5584  ABDCMDL_PRIV_5588		Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita  Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad		Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media reporting and inquiries.  Email among client employees prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force.	Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.  Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.  Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.  Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications contain/reflect requests for legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.  ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL PRIV 5593	9/5/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie	Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, Beth; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media reporting and inquiries.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications contain/reflect requests for legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5598	9/14/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley		Email among client employees discussing legal advice (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding review of HDA recommendations.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5599	9/18/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley		emain with attachment among them employees discussing information prepared at the direction of in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Policy Committee meeting agenda.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5600	9/18/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth; Heller, Stacie		Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) seeking and providing legal advice regarding draft press release.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.  Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication to/from in-house counsel is privileged as it related to provision of legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5601	9/18/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media reporting and inquiries.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications contain/reflect requests for legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5608	9/27/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Lorenz-Fisher, Susan	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Laepple Hertzog, Kate; DiCicco, Diane; Mitchell, Beth; Tallamy, Brad; Weissman, Gabriel	Zonia, Theresa/Tierney	Email with attachments from client to in- house counsel seeking legal advice regarding opioid workshop.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5611	11/11/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Webshian, Gabrier, Mauch, Kobert, Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Zimmerman, Chris; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David	Mattox, Keri; Gunning, Francesca; Murphy, Bennett	Email with attachment from client to in- house counsel seeking legal advice regarding review of draft opioid policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5612	11/11/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in- house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding draft opioid policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document

### Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 5 of 26 PageID #: 1641

			ı	To a second			_	1	
				Clare/Reservoir; Schooling,					
				Robert/Reservoir; Romero, Lauren;					
				Sauerhaft, Roger/Slone PR;					
				Zimmerman, Chris; Gunning,					
				Francesca; Tallamy, Brad; Clark,					
				Gina; Brandt, Darren/Slone PR;					
				Norton, Rita; May, David; Parker,					
				John/HDA; Casalenuovo,					
				Christopher/Esq.; Webb, Matthew;		Email with attachments from client to in	1-		
				Chou, John/Esq.; Traynor,		house counsel and trade group seeking		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party	
				Kate/Sloane PR; Zacchei,		legal advice regarding presentation on	Attorney-Client	involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business	ABDC subsequently produced the
			Weissman,	Dan/Sloane PR; Campbell,		the industry response to the opioid	Privilege;	and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5615	11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Gabriel	Elizabeth/Esq.		epidemic.	Common Interest	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00473148
						Email from client to in-house counsel		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
							Attarnay Client		ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
10001101 0001 5016	44/42/2047	T				seeking legal advice regarding ABC	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5616	11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Gaddes, Kathy		opioid policy press release. Email among client employees	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						discussing need for legal advice from in-		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						house counsel regarding opioid	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5617	11/12/2017	Tallamus Drad	Tallamu Drad	Maissman Cabriel					
ABDCWIDL_PRIV_5617	11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel		strategy. Email among client employees	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						discussing need for legal advice from in-	,		
						house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.)		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						regarding ABC opioid policy press	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5618	11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Mauch, Robert		release.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_FRIV_3018	11/13/2017	ranamy, brau	raliality, brau	Water, Robert		Email among client employees	rrivilege	r ivilege califi is inconsistent wy prior discovery rullings.	and will produce the document
						discussing request for legal advice from			
						in-house counsel (Campbell,			
						Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding strategy for		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						conversation in response to opioid	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5619	11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		abuse.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
ABBENIDE_I NIV_3019	11/15/2017	raliality, braa	Norton, Rita	Tallatily, Brad		ubuse.	TTTVIICEC	Trivilege cultivis inconsistent wy prior discovery runnigs.	and will produce the document
						Email with attachment among client			
						employees discussing legal advice		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5626	11/29/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy Prad	Norton, Rita		draft statement on opioid operations.	Privilege		and will produce the document
ABDCIVIDE_FRIV_3020	11/20/2017	Tallallly, Drau	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		Email with attachment among client	riiviiege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						employees discussing public affairs			
						strategy prepared at the direction of the		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						ABC Legal Department regarding draft	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5628	11/28/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita	statement.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
ADDCIVIDE_FIXIV_3020	11/20/2017	rananny, brau	rananty, brad	vvcissiliali, Gabriel	ivorton, inta	Email with attachments among chent	Trivilege	Trivings calling inconsistent w/ prior discovery rullings.	and will produce the document
						employees discussing request for legal			
						advice from in-house counsel			
						(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
				Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad;		review of educational programs to	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5632	11/20/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, Beth		prevent opioid abuse.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
ADDCIVIDE_FIXIV_3032	11/23/2017	ranarity, brau	ivortori, mta	witchell, betil		Email with attachment among client	TTVIICEC	Trivinge count is inconsistent wy prior discovery fullings.	and win produce the document
						employees discussing opioid task force		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						slides prepared at the direction of ABC	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5641	12/7/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy Prod	Norton, Rita; O'Sullivan, Ashley			Privilege		and will produce the document
ADDCIVIDE_FRIV_3041	12///2017	randilly, DidU	Tallamy, Brad	ivortori, nita, O Sullivari, Asriley		Legal department.	riiviiege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						discussing need for legal advice from in-			
						house counsel (Campbell,		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding legislative	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5642	12/0/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy Brad	Norton Bita: Hollor Stacic	O'Sullivan Achlov				and will produce the document
ADUCIVIUL_PRIV_3042	12/0/2017	ranailly, blau	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	O'Sullivan, Ashley	strategy.  Email with attachment among client	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						employees discussing opioid task force		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						slides prepared at the direction of ABC	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ADDOMDI DRIV EGAS	12/0/2017	Tallamur Drad	Tallamu Dr	O'Sullivan Ashlovy Norton Sit-				· ·	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5643	12/8/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley; Norton, Rita	1	Legal department.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document

## Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 6 of 26 PageID #: 1642

				T		T.			
						Email with attachments among client		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney	
						employees discussing need for legal		involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject	
						advice from in-house counsel (Chou,		involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a	
						John/Esq.; Bak, Hyung/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5652	12/17/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Feehery, John/EFB Advocacy	Tallamy, Brad	media response to the opioid crisis.	Privilege	rulings.	and will produce the document
ABBCIVIBL_FILIV_5032	12/17/2017	rananny, brau	Norton, Rita	reenery, John Jer B Advocacy	Tallally, blad	Email with attachment among client	riiviiege	ruiligs.	and will produce the document
						employees discussing opioid task force		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						slides prepared at the direction of ABC	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5655	1/2/2018	Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Tallamy, Brad		Legal department.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
	,,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,	,,			-0-		
						Email among client employees			
						discussing information prepared at the		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						direction of ABC Legal Department	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5656	1/10/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		regarding opioid task force.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						Email with attachments among client			·
						employees discussing legal advice from	Attorney-Client	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						ABC Legal Department regarding opioid	Privilege; Work	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5657	1/10/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		taskforce.	Product	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						Email with attachment among client			
				Meredith, Emily; O'Sullivan, Ashley;		employees discussing legal advice from	Attorney-Client	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	A DDC with draws the contribution of a total
				Mitchell, Vivienne; Davis, Laura;		ABC Legal Department regarding opioid	Privilege; Work	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5658	1/15/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Scheidt, Scott	Tallamy, Brad		taskforce.	Product	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						Email with attachments among client			
						employees discussing request for legal		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney	
						advice from in-house counsel		involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject	
				Feehery, John/EFB Advocacy;		(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5665	1/10/2019	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		draft opinion piece on the opioid crisis.	Privilege	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
ABDCIVIDE_FRIV_3003	1/19/2018	raliality, brau	Tallallly, blau	Norton, Rita		draft opinion piece on the opioid crisis.	Filvliege	claim is inconsistent wy prior discovery runnigs.	and will produce the document
						Email from client to in-house counsel		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney	
						and consultant seeking legal advice		involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject	
					Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; O'Sullivan,	regarding analysis of negative effects of	Attorney-Client	involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5666	1/24/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global	Ashley	opioid abuse.	Privilege	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Email with attachment among client	-0-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
						employees discussing opioid task force		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						slides prepared at the direction of ABC	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5671	1/26/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Legal department.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						Email with attachment among client			
						employees discussing opioid task force		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						slides prepared at the direction of ABC	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5672	1/26/2018	Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Tallamy, Brad		Legal department.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						Email with attachment among client			
						employees discussing opioid task force		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	A DDC with down the part the end of the end
	44					slides prepared at the direction of ABC	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5675	1/30/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Scheidt, Scott; Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Legal department.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						Email among client employees			
						discussing need for legal advice from in-			
						house counsel (Campbell,		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding request for	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5706	2/16/2010	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad	review of draft advocacy materials.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
APPCIAIDE_LILIA_2\00	2/10/2018	rananny, brau	NOI toll, Nita	ivitedell, betil	Tananiy, Diau	icview of draft advocacy materials.	TIVILEGE	Tranche cann is inconsistent w/ prior discovery fulfilgs.	and will produce the document
				Lohman, Donald/Esq./Mallinckrodt;		Email from client to manufacturer and			
				Tyndall, Mark/Mallinckrodt; Naten,		manufacturer's counsel seeking legal	Attorney-Client	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves	ABDC subsequently produced the
				Derek/Mallinckrodt; Long,		advice regarding DEA clearinghouse	Privilege;	a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one.	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL PRIV 5721	2/22/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Erika/Mallinckrodt	Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	proposal.	Common Interest	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00473157
	, -,	,,	,		, , ,			3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
				Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie;		Email with attachments from client to in	-	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney	
				Zimmerman, Chris; May, David;		house counsel seeking legal advice		involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject	
				Mays, Steve; Campbell,		regarding feedback on new federal	Attorney-Client	involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5729	2/27/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Elizabeth/Esq.	Mitchell, Beth; O'Sullivan, Ashley	legislation.	Privilege	calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
					•	•	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

### Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 7 of 26 PageID #: 1643

	1			1			•		
						Email with attachments among client			
						employees discussing request for legal			
						advice from in-house counsel		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5756	3/15/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		controlled substance media update.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
ABBCNIBE_FRIV_3730	3/13/2010	ranamy, brau	raliality, brau	Norton, Nita		Email with attachments among client	riiviiege	r ivilege califir is inconsistent wy prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						employees discussing opioid task force		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						slides prepared at the direction of ABC	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5760	3/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Scheidt, Scott; Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Legal Department.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
7.556.1152_1 11.17_57.66	3/10/2010	ranamy, braa	ranarry, braa	Seriesacy seestly resiliently rate	o sumram, rismey	zega: separament	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I nira party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney	and will produce the document
						Email between client and consultant		involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject	
						discussing legal advice from outside	Attorney-Client	involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a	ABDC subsequently produced the
						counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe	Privilege;	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL PRIV 5761	3/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Norton, Rita	Law) regarding Senate hearings.	Common Interest	rulings.	ABDCMDL00473159
				,	,	, , , ,			ABDC maintains the privilege designation
									but will produce the document in a
					Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell,				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo,	Redacted email from in-house counsel		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney	redacted form. Redacted
					Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou,	(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing		involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject	communications reflect legal advice from
					John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita;	legal advice regarding response to	Attorney-Client	involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege	in-house counsel. Description revised to
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791	4/17/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris	Esposito, Lauren	media inquiry.	Privilege	calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	reflect same.
						Email among client employees		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						discussing need for legal advice from in-		Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	
						house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.)	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5792	4/17/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Scheidt, Scott	Tallamy, Brad		regarding opioid policy.	Privilege	rulings.	and will produce the document
						Email with attachment among client		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent.	
						employees discussing talking points		Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	
						prepared at the direction of the ABC	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5810	4/25/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Meredith, Emily; Norton, Rita		Legal Department regarding S. 483.	Privilege	rulings.	and will produce the document
						Email among client employees			
						discussing request for legal advice from			
						in-house counsel (Casalenuovo,			A DDC subsequently are duesd the
						Chris/Esq.) regarding thoughts on	Attorney-Client	···· party	ABDC subsequently produced the
						federal suspicious order monitoring	Privilege;	a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one.	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5855	5/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Freitas, Kristen/HDA		legislation.	Common Interest	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00473165
						Email among client employees		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						discussing legal advice from in-house	A44 Cli 4	communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ARROMANI RRIVI FOCO	2/25/2011	Name - Dita	eddi. i.d.	Name Pita		counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5869	2/25/2011	Norton, Rita	Eddy, Julie	Norton, Rita		proposed Ohio legislation. Email among client employees	Privilege	discovery rulings. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	and will produce the document
						discussing legal advice from in-house	Attorney-Client	communication. Subject of communication involves a	
						counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding	Privilege; Work	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5870	F /28 /201F	Norton, Rita	Anderson, Mary	Norton, Rita		Senator Manchin letter.	Product	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
ABDCIVIDE_PRIV_5870	5/28/2013	NOITOII, KILA	Anderson, iviary	NOTION, RILA		Email among client employees	Product	uiscovery runings.	and will produce the document
						discussing information prepared at the		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						direction of HDMA counsel (Gallenagh,	Attorney-Client	communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC subsequently produced the
						Elizabeth/Esq./HDMA) regarding draft	Privilege;	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL PRIV 5871	6/2/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Eddy, Julie		legislation.	Common Interest	<u> </u>	ABDCMDL00472851
ADDCIVIDE_FRIV_30/1	0/3/2013	ivorton, nita	INOI LOII, MILA	Ludy, Julie	+	icasiation.	Common interest	discovery runnigs.	ADDC: VIDLOU+/2011
						Email with attachments among client			
						employees discussing legal advice from		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	ABDC maintains the privilege
						in-house counsel (Campbell,	Attorney-Client	communication. Subject of communication involves a	designation. Communication circulates
						Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator	Privilege; Work	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	and discusses work product created by in-
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5872	6/7/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		Manchin meeting.	Product	discovery rulings.	house counsel.
			·					İ	
						Email with attachments among client			ABDC maintains the privilege
1						employees and consultant discussing		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does	ABDC maintains the privilege
				Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global;		legal advice from in-house counsel		not appear to be directly involved in communication.	designation. Communication circulates
1				Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global;		(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	and discusses work product created by in-
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5873	6/7/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		Senator Manchin meeting.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	house counsel.
						Email from client to consultant			
1						discussing request for legal advice from		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does	ABDC maintains the privilege
1						in-house counsel (Campbell,		not appear to be directly involved in communication.	designation. Communication forwards
									and discussion and the four land and the
ABDCMDL PRIV 5874		Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global		Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin letter.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business matter.  Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and discusses request for legal advice from in-house counsel.

### Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 8 of 26 PageID #: 1644

					Email with attachment from client to			ABDC maintains the privilege
					consultant discussing legal advice from		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does	
					in-house counsel (Campbell,		not appear to be directly involved in communication.	designation. Communication forwards
					Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	and discusses request for legal advice
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5877	6/18/2015 Norton, Ri	ta Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Manchin letter.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	from in-house counsel.
								ABDC maintains the privilege designation
					Email with attachments among client			but will produce the document in a
					employees discussing legal advice from		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
					in-house counsel (Campbell,		communication. Subject of communication involves a	redacted form. Redacted communication
					Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	to/from in-house counsel is privileged as
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5884	6/26/2015 Norton, Ri	ta Norton, Rita	Anderson, Mary		Manchin meeting.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	it related to provision of legal advice.
					Email with attachments among client			ABDC maintains the privilege designation
					_		Address of the second s	but will produce the document in a
					employees reflecting legal advice from		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	redacted form. Redacted communication
					in-house counsel (Campbell,		communication. Subject of communication involves a	
					Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	to/from in-house counsel is privileged as
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5885	6/26/2015 Norton, Ri	ta Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita		Manchin meeting.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	it related to provision of legal advice.
								ABDC maintains the privilege designation
								but will produce the document in a
					Redacted email from client to in-house		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	redacted form. Redacted email contains
								request for legal advice to in-house
			David Laurer Tall See de		counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) seeking legal	Attorno: Clinic	communication. Subject of communication involves a	counsel. Description revised to reflect
ADD 04 4D1 DD11/ 500 C	6/20/2045 N		Davis, Laura; Tallamy, Brad;		advice regarding revisions to state	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	· ·
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5886	6/29/2015 Norton, Ri	ta Davis, Laura	Anderson, Mary; Money, Jason Cnou, John/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris		official presentation.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	same.
			May, David; Mosley, Amy; DiCenso,				Large distribution indicates that communication is not	
			Frank; Money, Jason; Cincione,		Email with attachment from client to in-		privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege.	
			K./Vorys; Eddy, Julie; Hobson,		house counsel and consultants seeking	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ADDCAADL DRIV FROE	7/20/2015 Norton Bi	to Norton Dito			1	,		
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5895	7/20/2015 Norton, Ri	ta Norton, Rita	David/FIA Advisors		legal advice regarding DeWine meeting.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
			Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global;					
			Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global;					
			Jenkins, Tim/Esq./Nossaman;					
			Quinn, Paul/Esq./Nossaman;					
			Mortier, Jeff/RVMB; Tongour,				Large distribution indicates that communication is not	
			Michael/TCH Group; Holsclaw,		Email from client to consultants and		privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege.	
			Brad/TCH Group; Wiley, D./TCH		outside counsel seeking legal advice	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5897	7/29/2015 Norton, Ri	ta Norton, Rita	Group; Tallamy, Brad; Money, Jasor	1	regarding DEA recommendations.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
7.556.1152_11.114_5657	7,23,2013 Norton, III	tu Norton, mta	noisciaw, brady ren Group,	·	regarding 527 (recommendations)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Trinings diamnis meensistent wy prior discovery ramigs.	and will produce the document
			Tongour, Michael/TCH Group;					
			Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global;					
			Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global;					
			Jenkins, T./Esq./Nossman; Walter,				Large distribution indicates that communication is not	
			Sarah/Walter Group; Quinn,		Email with attachments from client to		privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege.	
			Paul/Esq./Nossaman; Mortier,		outside counsel and consultants seeking	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5898	8/18/2015 Norton, Ri	ta Norton, Rita	J./RMVB	Money, Jason; Tallamy, Brad	legal advice regarding S. 483.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
		·			Email with attachments among client			·
					employees discussing legal advice from		Distribution is not identified. Attorney does not appear to	<u>                                     </u>
					HDMA counsel (Gallenagh,		be directly involved in communication. Subject of	ABDC subsequently produced the
					Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Rx abuse	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5899	8/19/2015 Norton, Ri	ta Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita		meeting.	Privilege	is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00472854
		İ			Email among client employee and			
					consultant discussing need for legal		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does	A DDC as has a smooth as a little
					advice from in-house counsel	Attorney-Client	not appear to be directly involved in communication.	ABDC subsequently produced the
					(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding S.	Privilege;	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5901	8/25/2015 Norton, Ri	ta Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDMA		483.	Common interest	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00472876
							L	
					Email from client to consultant		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does	
					discussing legal advice from outside		not appear to be directly involved in communication.	
					counsel (Quinn, Paul/Esq./Nossaman)	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5905	1/16/2016 Norton, Ri	ta Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global	1	regarding opioid-related legislation.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
	_, _0, _010 110 110 110 11 111	Into tony mita		L	-00	10-	- o	

### Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 9 of 26 PageID #: 1645

		ı				1	T	T	1
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5906	1/17/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global		Email from client to consultant discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Quinn, Paul/Esq./Nossaman) regarding opioid-related legislation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5907	2/1/2016	Norton, Rita	Money, Jason	DiCenso, Frank; Delac, Frank	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie	Email with attachment among client employees discussing draft presentation prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding Representative Stivers meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5911	2/11/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Money, Jason; Eddy, Julie	Anderson, Mary	Email with attachments among client employees discussing HDMA briefing regarding Masters litigation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472934
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5913	2/17/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Mauch, Robert	Heiler, Stacie; Zimmerman, Chris; Hirst, D.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Tallamy, Brad; Money, Jason; Eddy, Julie; Meehan, F.; Davis, Laura	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding executive committee briefing.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5914	2/22/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Money, Jason; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; Eddy, Julie		employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Masters amicus brief.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5924	4/2/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Zimmerman, Chris	Lane, C.; May, David; Neu, Dave; Mauch, Robert	Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding presidential announcement regarding opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5927	5/3/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie		discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding response to Senator Schumer statement.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5932	7/13/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Hartman, S.	Eddy, Julie; Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.; Heller, Stacie; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) seeking and providing legal advice regarding customer education materials.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted emails from/to in-house counsel request and provide legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5933	8/18/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	May, David; Zimmerman, Chris	Caroline, David/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Mays, Stephen; Tallamy, Brad; Hartman, Sharon	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding opposition to Marino bill.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5934	8/18/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA	Tallamy, Brad	Email among client employees and HDA counsel regarding opposition to Marino bill.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473058
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5935	9/18/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Money, Jason		Email with attachments with attachments prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for the purpose of providing legal advice re draft Board Materials.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5936	11/4/2016	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document

## 

	1						1	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						Email with attachment from client to in-		communication. Subject of communication involves a	
						house counsel seeking legal advice	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5937	11/4/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Chou, John/Esq.; Norton, Rita	regarding HDA response document.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
ABDCIVIDE_FRIV_3937	11/4/2010	INUI LUII, KILA	NOITOII, KILA	Weissiliali, Gabrier	Chou, John Jesq., Norton, Kita	regarding HDA response document.	Filvliege	discovery runnigs.	and will produce the document
								Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory	
						Email from client to in-house counsel		matter, and not a legal one. In-house counsel is merely	
			Weissman,			seeking legal advice regarding draft	Attorney-Client	copied on communication. Privilege claim is inconsistent	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5938	11/4/2016	Norton, Rita	Gabriel	Norton, Rita	Chou, John/Esq.	language on DEA reporting system.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Email among client employees	,	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	·
						discussing legal advice from in-house		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABDC subsequently produced the
						counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5939	11/5/2016	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	HDA messaging.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00472845
								L	
				Barry, Ellen/CAH; Morford,		Email from in-house counsel to client	Attorney-Client	Topic of communication is a business matter, and not a	A DDC with draws the privilege designation
	/ - /			Craig/CAH; Schechter,	Norton, Rita; Weissman, Gabriel; Clark,	and distributors providing legal advice	Privilege;	legal one. Third party involvement destroys privilege.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5940	11/6/2016	Norton, Rita	Chou, John/Esq.	Lori/McKesson	Gina	regarding opioid messaging. Email with attachment from client to in-	Common interest	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						house counsel seeking legal advice		Communication involves a busness and/or non-legal	
			Weissman,			regarding draft industry talking points	Attorney-Client	matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5941	11/6/2016	Norton, Rita	Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.	Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	on opioid abuse.	Privilege	rulings.	and will produce the document
ABDCMDE_FMV_5541	11/0/2010	Norton, Mta	Gabrier	iviaucii, Robert, Cilou, Johny Esq.	ciark, dina, Norton, nita	оп орюш авизе.	riiviiege	ruings.	and will produce the document
					Barry, Ellen/CAH; Schechter,	Email from in-house counsel to client	Attorney-Client	Topic of communication is a business matter, and not a	ABDC subsequently produced the
				Morford, Craig/CAH; Hunter,	Lori/McKesson; Weissman, Gabriel;	and distributors providing legal advice	Privilege;	legal one. Third party involvement destroys privilege.	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL PRIV 5942	11/7/2016	Norton, Rita	Chou, John/Esq.	Kristin/McKesson; Norton, Rita	Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Clark, Gina	regarding opioid talking points.	Common interest	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00472848
		,				0.		Large distribution indicates that communication is not	
					Mauch, Robert; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;			privileged. Attorney does not appear to be directly	
					Chou, John/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; May,	Email from client to in-house counsel		involved in communication. Subject of communication	
					David; Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Mattox,	seeking legal advice regarding legislative		involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5943	1/27/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Keri; Moyer, Lauren; Tallamy, Brad	efforts.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						employees discussing legal advice from			
						in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.)		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						regarding news article about opioid		communication. Subject of communication involves a	
						protest.	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5944	3/2/2017	Norton, Rita	Anderson, Mary	Norton Pita		protest.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
ABBCMBE_F MV_5544	3/2/2017	Norton, Mta	Anderson, Iviary	Norton, Nita			riiviiege	discovery runnigs.	and will produce the document
						Email among client employees			
						discussing legal advice from in-house		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.)		communication. Subject of communication involves a	
						regarding statement for press in	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5945	3/3/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita	response to opioid crisis.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
									ABDC maintains the privilege designation
									but will produce the document in a
					Chau Jahn/Fsa - Camphall	Dadastad amail containing request for		Large distribution indicates that communication is not	redacted form. Redacted communication
				Weissman, Gabriel; Norton, Rita;	Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Mattox, Keri; Clark, Gina;	Redacted email containing request for legal advice from in-house counsel		Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege.	to in-house counsel is privileged as it
				Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy,	DeAtley, Danny/ICS; Romero, Lauren;	_	Attorney-Client	F	seeks legal advice. Description revised to
ABDCMDL PRIV 5946	2/0/2017	Norton, Rita	Moyer, Lauren	Julie; Mitchell, Beth		(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business matter.  Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	reflect same.
VPPCIAINT 2240	5/9/2017	INUI LUII, NILA	ivioyer, Lauren	June, Mittiell, Detil	Putt, Jordan	response to media inquiry.	riiviiege	r rivinege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	renect same.
									ADDC maintains the articles of size of
									ABDC maintains the privilege designation
									but will produce the document in a
						Redacted email among client employees	6	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not	redacted form. Redacted
						discussing need for legal advice from		appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject	communications reflect legal strategy
						ABDC Legal Department regarding	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege	discussions with ABDC Legal Department.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5948	3/15/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, Beth	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie	meetings with state officials.	Privilege	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	Description revised to reflect same.
					May, David; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad;				
					liviay, David: Heller, Stacle: Tallamy, Brad:		1		
					Mattox, Keri; Guttman, Tim; Zimmerman,				ABDC maintains the privilege designation
					Mattox, Keri; Guttman, Tim; Zimmerman, Chris; Moyer, Lauren; Clark, Gina; Mauch,	Forcil from client to in house			ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a
					Mattox, Keri; Guttman, Tim; Zimmerman, Chris; Moyer, Lauren; Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert; DeAtley, Danny /ICS; Romero, L.;	Email from client to in-house counsel			but will produce the document in a
				Walsaman Cabriel Char	Mattox, Keri; Guttman, Tim; Zimmerman, Chris; Moyer, Lauren; Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert; DeAtley, Danny /ICS; Romero, L.; Putt, Jordan; DiCicco, Diane/Tierney;	and consultants seeking legal advice	Attorno: Cli	Large distribution indicates that communication is not	but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted
ABDCMDL PRIV 5950	Elnizona	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Mattox, Keri; Guttman, Tim; Zimmerman, Chris; Moyer, Lauren; Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert; DeAtley, Danny /ICS; Romero, L.; Putt, Jordan; DiCicco, Diane/Tierney; Hummel, Kristin/Tierney; Dodson,		Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	but will produce the document in a

## Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 11 of 26 PageID #: 1647

	1		1	Tallamy, Brad; Campbell,	T	Γ		Large distribution indicates that communication is not	1
				Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris;		Email with attachment from client to in-		privileged. Subject of communication involves a business	
				May, David; Eddy, Julie; Chou,		house counsel seeking legal advice	Attorney-Client	matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5952	6/5/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	John/Esq.		regarding article.	Privilege	rulings.	and will produce the document
ABBCIVIDE_F NIV_5932	0/3/2017	Norton, Kita	Norton, Kita	Johny Esq.		regarding article.	rrivilege	Tulligs.	and will produce the document
						Email with attachments among client			
						employees discussing information		Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not	
						prepared at the direction of the ABC		appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject	
						Legal Department regarding board	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5953	6/5/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Anderson, Mary		meeting.	Privilege	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						Email with attachments among client			·
						employees discussing legal advice from		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does	
						outside counsel (Nicholson,		not appear to be directly involved in communication.	
				Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy	,	Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5960	6/20/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Brad; Eddy, Julie		policy priorities.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						Email with attachments among client			
						employees discussing legal advice from		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does	
						outside counsel (Nicholson,		not appear to be directly involved in communication.	
						Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5961	6/21/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Heller, Stacie; Eddy, Julie	Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita	policy priorities.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						employees discussing legal advice from	1	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does	
						outside counsel (Nicholson,		not appear to be directly involved in communication.	
				Norten Dita Haller Stacio Eddu		1	Attornou Client	**	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ADDCAADL DDIV 5053	6/26/2017	Mantan Bita	Market ell D	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Eddy,		Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	, -
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5963	0/20/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Julie	<u> </u>	policy priorities.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						employees discussing legal advice from			ABDC maintains the privilege
						in-house counsel (Campbell,		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	designation. Communication forwards
						Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding draft response		communication. Subject of communication involves a	and discusses legal advice from in-house
						to Energy & Commerce Committee	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	counsel. Description revised to reflect
ABDCMDL PRIV 5965	6/29/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Davis, Laura		letter.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	same.
7.65 CM 5 L 1 1 1 1 2 5 5 6 5	0/23/201/	rtorton, mta	norton, mea	Bavis, Edara		Email with attachment among client	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	alsovery runings.	Same
						employees discussing need for legal		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						advice from in-house counsel		communication. Subject of communication involves a	
						(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5966	6/30/2017	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie	Norton, Rita; Eddy, Julie		Masters.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
									ABDC maintains the privilege designation
									but will produce the document in a
						Redacted email chain among client			redacted form. Redacted communication
						employees and in-house counsel		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing		communication. Subject of communication involves a	to/from in-house counsel is privileged as
						legal strategy regarding responses to	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	it related to provision of legal advice.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5967	6/30/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global	O'Sullivan, Ashley/Roberti Global	media reporting and inquiries.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	Description revised to reflect same.
						- 16 1		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						Email from client to in-house counsel	J	communication. Subject of communication involves a	ARDC withdraws the assistings designed:
				Norton, Rita; Clark, Gina; Weissman		seeking legal advice regarding proposed	-	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5971	7/10/2017	Norton, Rita	Mauch, Robert	Gabriel	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.	public affairs campaign.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						employees discussing legal advice from		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						in-house counsel (Campbell,		communication. Subject of communication involves a	
						, , ,	Attorney Client		ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ADDCAADL DDIV 5076	7/12/2017	Norton Dito	Mitchell D	Norton Dita	Davis Laura	Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding CWAG	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5976	//13/201/	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita	Davis, Laura	meeting.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
								Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does	
				Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita; Heller,		Email with attachments from NACDS		not appear to be directly involved in communication.	
				Stacie; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan,		Legal providing legal advice regarding	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business matter.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5982	8/7/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Ashley		opioid workgroup.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
ADDCINDE_F NIV_5502	6,7/2017	itorion, nita	Iviliciieii, D.	romey		Email with attachment among client	TTVIICEC	Trivinge claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery fullings.	and win produce the document
						employees discussing legal advice from		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						in-house counsel (Campbell,		communication. Subject of communication involves a	
						Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid task	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 5985	8/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		force meeting.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
	-,,,	, ,-	,, =.==	1	1		1	1 7 9	p

## Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 12 of 26 PageID #: 1648

			-	1		1			
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5986	8/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.	Weissman, Gabriel; Clark, Gina	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; Moyer, Lauren; Meluso, Ginette; Hummel, Kristin/Tierney; McConnell, Kathy	Email with attachment from in-house counsel to client providing legal advice regarding draft editorial on the opioid crisis. Email among client employees	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Email contains legal advice/work product provided by inhouse counsel.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5987	8/19/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding draft opinion piece on the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5988	8/19/2017	Norton, Rita	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Weissman, Gabriel; Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; Moyer, Lauren; Meluso, Ginette; Hummel, Kristin/Tierney; McConnell, Kathy/Tierney	Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) seeking and providing legal advice regarding draft editorial.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted portion of document reflects legal advice from inhouse counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL PRIV 5990	8/22/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Gansler, Doug/Esq./Buckley Sander		Email with attachments from client to outside counsel seeking legal advice regarding Connecticut AG presentation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Email contains request for legal advice from outside counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5991		Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Tallamy, Brad	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding public statements on the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. In-house counsel is merely copied on communication. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.  Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5992	8/23/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley	discussing legal advice (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding responses to the opioid epidemic.	Attorney-Client Privilege	communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5994	8/23/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley		Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media reporting and inquiries.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications contain/reflect requests for legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5996	8/25/2017	Norton, Rita	Reed, Chuck	Mitchell, B.; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	Reinardy, Joseph/CenterX	employees discussing legal advice from NACDS counsel (Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public policy priorities for curbing opioid related dependence, overdose and death.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5997	8/28/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita		Email among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding review of letter on solutions to the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL PRIV 5998	8/29/2017	Norton, Rita	Eddy, Julie	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth		Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media reporting and inquiries.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications contain/reflect requests for legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.

## Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 13 of 26 PageID #: 1649

			1		1			T	1
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5999	8/29/2017 N	lorton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media reporting and inquiries.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications contain/reflect requests for legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6008	9/5/2017 N	iorton, Rita	Eddy, Julie	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad		Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media reporting and inquiries.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communications contain/reflect requests for legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6009	9/5/2017 N	lorton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	O'Neill, John/Harbinger; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global; Tongour, Mike/TCH Group; White, Joel/Horizon; Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global; Simpson, Al/Mercury, LLC; Cincione, Karen/Vorys; Niehaus, Thomas/Vorys	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email with attachment among client employees and consultant discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding communications strategy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL PRIV 6010	9/8/2017 N	Jorton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita; Eddy, Julie; Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter	employees discussing legal advice from NACDS counsel (Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public policy priorities for curbing opioid related dependence, overdose and death.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
					Jenkins, Tim/Esq./Nossaman; O'Neill, John/Harbinger; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global; Tongour, Michael/TCH Group; White, Joel/Horizon; Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global; Simpson, Al/Mercury, LLC; Cincione, Karen/Vorys; Niehaus, Thomas/Vorys; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie;	Email among client employees and consultants discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding	Attorney-Client	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 6014	9/15/2017 N	lorton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	communications strategy.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						email with attachment among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task	-	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6019	9/19/2017 N	Iorton, Rita	Heller, Stacie	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	force. Email with attachment among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC	Privilege	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.  Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject	and will produce the document
ABDCMDL PRIV 6020	9/22/2017 N	Iorton. Rita	Mitchell, B.	Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; O'Sullivan, Ashley		Legal Department regarding opioid task force.	Attorney-Client Privilege	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024	9/25/2017 N		Mitchell, B.	James, Kevin/US Bio Services	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6028	9/28/2017 N	Jorton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document

## Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 14 of 26 PageID #: 1650

F	1			T	T	T	1	T	T
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6029	9/28/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad		Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6030	10/2/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6031	10/2/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6032	10/2/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Benske, John	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita	Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs strategy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL PRIV 6033	10/2/2017	Norton, Rita	Benske, John	Mitchell, B.	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita	Email among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs strategy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6034		Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	White, Joel/Horizon DC; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email among client employees and consultant discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senate committee opioid hearings.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6035	10/8/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie		employees discussing legal advice from NACDS counsel (Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public policy priorities for curbing opioid related dependence, overdose and death.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ADDIANU DDW 6000	40/0/2017	Northern Dife	Marker Pite	William Child	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Clark, Gina; Moyer, Lauren; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;	Email from client to in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding	Attorney-Client	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Counsel is merely copied on communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6039  ABDCMDL_PRIV_6040	10/19/2017	Norton, Rita  Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Webb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew; Clark, Gina; Moyer, Lauren	Zimmerman, Chris Putt, Jordan	Washington Post article.  Email with attachment from client to inhouse counsel seeking legal advice regarding proposed controlled substance social media strategy.	Privilege  Attorney-Client Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.  Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6041	10/11/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Email with attachments among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.) regarding NACDS policy meeting. Email among client employees	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6043	10/11/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Benske, John; Mitchell, Beth	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	discussing strategy at the direction of in house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding proposed opioid crisis social media outreach. Email among cirent employees	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6044	10/11/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; O'Sullivan, Ashley; Benske, John		discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding controlled substance social media policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document

## Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 15 of 26 PageID #: 1651

	,				1		•		,
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6075	10/17/2017	Norton, Rita	Parker, John/HDA	Weissman, Gabriel; Barry, Ellen/CAH; Chasen, Kristin/McKesson; Slone, P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Nelson, Jennifer/McKesson	Miller, Ruth/HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Simoneth, Farah/HDA DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Krusing, Clare/Reservoir; Schooling, Robert/Reservoir; Ward, Mallory/Reservoir	Email with attachments among trade group, distributors and client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding draft media response.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472747
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6081	10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Slone, Pete/McKesson; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, B.; McGrath, R./CAH; Callinicos, Sean/CAH	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA; Downs, Raissa/TDY Consulting; Young, Jennifer/TDY Consulting; Smith, Pamela/TDY Consulting; Menn, Buddy/Brown Rudnick; Thorsen, Carl/French; French, Alec/French	Email with attachment among client employees, consultants, trade group and distributors seeking legal advice regarding draft letter for elected officials.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest		ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472755
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6082	10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Slone, Pete/McKesson; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, B.; McGrath, R./CAH; Callinicos, Sean/CAH	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA	Email with attachment among client employees, trade group and distributors discussing need for legal advice from the ABC Legal Department regarding change to Senate bill.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472757
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6083	10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Krising, Clare/Reservoir	Parker, John/HDA; Weissman, Gabriel; Barry, Ellen/CAH; Chasen, Kristin/McKesson; Slone, P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Nelson, Jennifer/McKesson	Miller, Ruth/HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Simonett, Farah/HDA DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Krusing, Clare/Reservoir; Schooling, Robert/Reservoir; Ward, Mallory/Reservoir	Email with attachment among consultant, outside counsel, client employees, trade group and distributors discussing need for legal advice regarding revised language for Senate bill.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472762
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6091	10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath, R./CAH; Slone, P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA; Miller, Ruth	Email among trade group, distributors and client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding response to letter from elected official.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472766
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6092	10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Simpson, Al/Mercury, LLC	Norton, Rita		Email with attachments from consultant to client discussing need for legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding proposed changes to Senate bill.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6093	10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad		Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding proposed changes to Senate bill.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6105	10/20/2017	Norton, Rita	Ganley, Joseph/McKesson	Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Drew, Gregory/Value Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug; Foreman, Jeffrey/Smith Drug; Smith, C./HD Smith; Norton, Rita; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson	Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Gray, John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath, Rebecca/CAH	Email with attachment from distributor to outside counsel, distributors and trade group seeking legal advice regarding draft HDA solutions document.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472769
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6106	10/20/2017	Norton, Rita	Ganley, Joseph/McKesson	Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Drew, Gregory/Value Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug; Foreman, Jeffrey/Smith Drug; Smith, C./HD Smith; Norton, Rita; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson	Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Gray, John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath, Rebecca/CAH	Email with attachment from distributor to outside counsel, distributors and trade group seeking legal advice regarding draft HDA solutions document.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472783

## 

		1		1	1	1	T	Г
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6107	10/20/2017 Norton, Rita	Smith, Chris/HD Smith	Ganley, Joseph/McKesson	Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Drew, Gregory/Value Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug; Foreman, Jeffrey/Smith Drug; Norton, Rita; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson; Lavella, Patrick/Value Drug; Kotecha, Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Dil.Oreto, Matthew/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth; Gray, John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath, R./CAH	Email from client to outside counsel and distributors seeking legal advice regarding draft HDA solutions document.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472785
				Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Lavella, Patrick/Value Drug; Kotecha, Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA;				
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6136	10/24/2017 Norton, Rita	Kelly, Patrick/HD	Drew, Gregory/Value Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug; Foreman, Jeff/Smith Drug; Smith, C./HD SMith; Norton, Rita; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, A Pete/McKesson	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Gray, John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Tallamy, Brad; Frank, Richard/Esq./OFW Law; Pahl, T./Esq/OFW Law; Ritter, Pam/HDA	Email with attachments from trade group to outside counsel, distributors and consultant seeking legal advice regarding HDA practical solutions document.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472836
ABDCMDL PRIV 6189	12/5/2017 Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Joe/McKesson; Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; O'Sullivan, Ashley Mitchell, B.; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath, R./CAH; Brimmer, Molly/CAH	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA	Email among client employees, outside counsel, trade group and distributors discussing need for legal advice regarding strategy for upcoming Congressional hearings.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472842
ABDCMDL PRIV 6209	12/20/2017 Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Slone, Pete/McKesson	Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth; Brimmer, Molly/CAH; Menn, Buddy/Esq./Brown Rudnick	Email from client to consultant and distributors discussing information at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding the Hassan meeting on the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege;	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472843
		Freitas,		numick	Email from trade group to client discussing legal advice sought from in- house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding federal	Attorney-Client Privilege;	w/ prior discovery rulings.  Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6232  ABDCMDL_PRIV_6240	2/27/2018 Norton, Rita  3/3/2018 Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Norton, Rita  Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Norton, Rita; Rebecca McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Slone, Pete; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth	Downs, Raissa/TDY; Young, Jennifer/TDY; Ivancic, C./TDY; Smith, Pamela/TDY Consulting; Murray, E./TDY; Thorsen, Carl/Thorsen-French; French, Alec/French; Menn, Buddy/Esq./Brown Rudnick; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Miller, Ruth	Email with attachments from trade group to outside counsel, consultants and distributors seeking legal advice regarding DOJ letter.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common Interest	is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.  Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472880
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6241	3/4/2018 Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Norton, Rita; Rebecca McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Slone, Pete; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth	Jownis, Kaissa/TDT, Toung, Jenimer/TDT, Ivancic, C./TDY; Smith, Pamela/TDY Consulting; Murray, E./TDY; Thorsen, Carl/Thorsen-French; French, Alec/French; Menn, Buddy/Esq./Brown Rudnick	Email from trade group to outside counsel, distributors and consultant seeking legal advice regarding DOJ letter	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00472884
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6250	3/13/2018 Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath, R./CAH; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad Slone, P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA	Email from trade group to counsel and distributors regarding proposed modifications to S. 483.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00473142

## Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 17 of 26 PageID #: 1653

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6252	3/14/2018	Norton, Rita	Reese, Linda	Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita; Meehan, Fallon	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding feedback for House Ways and Means Committee on solutions to opioid epidemic.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
				Gaycohealthcare; Mitchell, Beth; Bil					
				Gaycohealthcare; Mitchell, Beth; Bil Maguire, Bill/OmniCell; Rowland, Bob/Grane RX; Warnock, Robert/Pruitthealth; Weir, Bob Guardian Pharmacy; Scott, Brian/Kinneydrugs; Brian Kramer, Brian/Forumpharmacy; Lewis, Dave/Consonus; Farber, David/Esq./KS Law; Towns, Doug/Guardian pharmacy; Nishi, Karen/Cubexsystem; Garza, Kim/Innovatix; Avdiu, Labi; Connor, Lynn/SCP-Rx; Ludlow, Mary/Wopharmacy; Templin, Michelle/MHA; Brattain, Patty/PharMerica; McKeon,					
				Rich/McKesson; Miller, Rick;					
				Norton, Rita; Hancock,					
				Scott/PropacPayless Pharmacy;					
				Thayer, Scott; Siegel,					
				Shara/Innovatix; Rhodus,				Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party	
			Danas de la cons	Susan/GeriMed; Voelkl,		For all with attack or act for an absorbance.	Att Cli	involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication	
			Rosenbloom, Alan/Senior Care	Todd/Buffalo Pharmacies; Caneris, Tom/PharMerica; Galletta,		Email with attachment from pharmacy to outside counsel and client seeking	Attorney-Client Privilege;	involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent	ARDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 6256	3/15/2018	Norton, Rita	Pharmacies	Vince/Buffalo Pharmacies		legal advice regarding opioid legislation.	Common Interest	w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
	5, 25, 252								
						Funcil forms allowed to accept the acceptance		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party	
					Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone,	Email from client to outside counsel, distributors and trade group seeking	Attorney-Client	involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is	ABDC subsequently produced the
					Pete/McKesson; Kelly, Patrick/HDA;	legal advice regarding Energy and	Privilege;	indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent	
ABDCMDL PRIV 6329	5/2/2018	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA	Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA	Commerce Committee hearing.	Common interest	w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00473146
		·				Email reflecting legal advice sought		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						from counsel (Johnson, Scott/Esq.;		communication. Subject of communication involves a	ABBC with decree the political and decimanting
ADDCAADL DRIV 6276	4/22/2046	Due Diligence Files	Tamkiauian laa	Hazawaki Edward		Chou, John/Esq.) regarding Acme #30	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6376	4/23/2010	Due Diligence Files	Tomkiewicz, Joe	Hazewski, Edward		drug diversion investigation.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						Draft communication attached to			ABDC maintains the privilege
						privileged email seeking legal advice			designation. Draft document sent to in-
						from counsel (Greenhall, Richard/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.) regarding low	Attarnay Client	No author idntified. No recipients identified. Subject	house counsel seeking legal review and analysis as attachment to
ABDCMDL PRIV 6377	6/24/2013	Due Diligence Files				volume, high risk accounts.	Attorney-Client Privilege	involves a business/regulatory matter, not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL PRIV6379
ABBENIDE_I NIV_6377	0/24/2013	Due Diligence Files				volume, mgm risk decounts.	TTIVIICGE	Trivinge dains is meansistent wy prior discovery runings.	_
						Draft talking points attached to			ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Draft document sent to in-
						privileged email seeking legal advice		No author idutified. No resistants identified. Cultivity	house counsel seeking legal review and
						from counsel (Greenhall, Richard/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.) regarding low	Attorney-Client	No author idntified. No recipients identified. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, not a legal one.	analysis as attachment to
ABDCMDL PRIV 6378	6/24/2013	Due Diligence Files				volume, high risk accounts.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL PRIV6379.
	0,24,2013			<u> </u>		Report prepared at the direction of	0-	- or state of the	
						counsel (Debra Swartz, Esq VP &		L.,	
			Michael Mar			Associate General Counsel) providing		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does	ABDC subsequently produced the
			Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA			information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring	Attorney-Client	not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter.	document identified in this entry at
ABDCMDL PRIV 6392 ABDCMDL000	37421 12/16/2009	AmerisourceBergen	Consultant)			Program Review.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDCMDL00398875
ADDCIVIDEOUG	27.10/2003	,ciisoureebergen	30.134.14111)	1	1	F : -8. a.i. i.e.i.e.ii.			

## Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 18 of 26 PageID #: 1654

										,
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6393	ABDCMDL00037421	11/8/2010	AmerisourceBergen	Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Debra Swartz, Esq. (VP & Associate General Counsel)	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); Ed Hazewski (Manager - CSRA II)	report prepared at the direction of counsel (Debra Swartz, Esq VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00398877
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6394	ABDCMDL00037421	1/6/2011	AmerisourceBergen	Ed Hazewski (Manager - CSRA II)	Debra Swartz, Esq. (VP & Associate General Counsel)	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); Paul Ross (Director - Regulatory Affairs)	Response to report prepared at the direction of counsel (Debra Swartz, Esq. VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00398880
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6395	ABDCMDL00037421	7/27/2012	AmerisourceBergen	Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (Assistant General Counsel)		report prepared at the direction of counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq Assistant General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00398882
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6396	ABDCMDL00037421	11/26/2012	AmerisourceBergen	Ed Hazewski (Director - Diversion Control & Security)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (Assistant General Counsel)	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); Joe Tomkiewicz (Corporate Investigator I); Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Response to report prepared at the direction of counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq Assistant General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00398886
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6397	ABDCMDL00037421	8/9/2013	AmerisourceBergen	Ed Hazewski (Director - Diversion Control & Security)	Mary Fox, Esq. (VP - Deputy General/Group Counsel); Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer)	Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (Assistant General Counsel)	Report prepared at the direction of counsel (Mary Fox, Esq VP - Deputy General/Group Counsel; Elizabeth Campbell, Esq Assistant General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00398888
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6398	ABDCMDL00037421	11/1/2013	AmerisourceBergen	Ed Hazewski (Director - Diversion Control & Security)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (VP & Associate General Counsel); Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer)	Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); Joe Tomkiewicz (Corporate Investigator I); Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Response to report prepared at the direction of counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00398892
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6399	ABDCMDL00037421	7/27/2014	AmerisourceBergen	Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (VP & Associate General Counsel);	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); David May (Sr. Director - Diversion Control & Federal Investigations); Ed Hazewski (Director - Diversion Control & Security)	Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00398896
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6400	ABDCMDL00037421	1/31/2017	AmerisourceBergen	Brian Reise (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (SVP - Group General Counsel)	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); David May (Sr. Director - Diversion Control & Federal Investigations)	report prepared at the direction of counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq SVP - Group General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC subsequently produced the document identified in this entry at ABDCMDL00476531
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6406		1/11/2014	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Collis, Steve; Neu, Dave	Chou, John/Esq.; Howell, Peyton; Norton, Rita; Zimmerman, Chris; Caffentzis, Anthony; Guttman, Tim	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding NABP consensus statement.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6411		9/23/2014	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Bennett, Bruce		Email with attachments from client to in house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document

## Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 19 of 26 PageID #: 1655

			ı	1	Wallis Stove: Mauch Behort: Ball Chuck:	Tempil with attachments from client to in	· 1	Ulargo distribution indicatos that privilego doos not apply	T
					Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Ball, Chuck;	Email with attachments from client to in	1	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply.	
					Schmidt, Andrew; Zimmerman, Chris;	house counsel seeking legal advice		Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
					Miller, Mike; Williamson, Joe; Garland,	regarding CSRA audit of distribution	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6419	5/8/2015	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Fox, Mary/Esq.	Mike; Fisher, Marian	center.	Privilege	rulings.	and will produce the document
				Marsh, Lawrence; Guttman, Tim;					
				Barry, June; Clark, Gina; Danilewitz,					
				Dale; Bausinger, Vicki/Legal					
				Department; Zimmerman, Chris;					
				Greenhall, Richard/Esq.; Fox,					
				Mary/Esq.; Gaddes, Kathy; Stone,					
				Rob/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;					
				Davey, Vanessa; Ouchley,					
				Jeremy/Esq.; Krikorian, Laz; Nguyen	,			Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply.	ABDC maintains the privilege
				Thanh; Mauch, Robert; Frary,		Email with attachments from in-house		Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	designation. Communication circulates
				James; Howell, Peyton; Senior,		counsel to client providing legal advice	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	legal strategy and advice from ABDC
ABDCMDL PRIV 6436	6/22/2015	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	David		regarding CSRA FY16 Plan.	Privilege	rulings.	Legal Department.
ABDCIVIDE_FRIV_0430	0/22/2013	iviaucii, Robei t	Cilou, Joility Esq.	David		regarding CSKA F110 Flati.	Filvliege	ruings.	Legal Department.
						Email from client discussing legal advice		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
						from in-house counsel (Campbell,		communication. Subject of communication involves a	
						Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding HDMA	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ADDCAADL DDUV C427	6/22/2015	Marriel Dalame	Marrie Dalame	Davis and a Bankana				- ·	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6437	6/22/2015	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Brungess, Barbara		Executive Committee Meeting.	Privilege	prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
				DeMartino, John; Byrne, Thomas;					
				Mauch, Robert; Cherveny, Eric; Ball	,				
				Chuck; Gaal, Greg; Caffentzis,					
				Anthony; Wetzel, Tim; Jackson, Jim;	;				
				Senior, David; Lightfoot, Emily;					
				Miller, Mike; Zimmerman, Chris;					
				Wiggs, John; Caroline, David/Esq.;					
				Manning, Kathie; Greenhall,					
				Richard/Esq.; Campbell,					
				Elizabeth/Esq.; Meluso, Ginette;					
				Mays, Steve; Chou, John/Esq.; May,					
				David; Winter, Matthew; Nachman,					
				Michael/Esq.; Bennett, Bruce; Cox,				Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply.	
				Kate; Duncan, Francine;		Email with attachments from client to in	1-	Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	
				Hendrickson, Stephen; Bak,		house counsel seeking legal advice	Attorney-Client	legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 6443	7/8/2015	Mauch, Robert	Mansfield, Denise			regarding PMO.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
ABBEINBE_I IIIV_0443	770/2013	Widden, Nobert	iviansneia, beinse	rryung/Esq.			TTIVILEBE	inconsistent wy prior discovery runnigs.	and will produce the document
						Email from in-house counsel to client			
						providing legal advice regarding HDMA	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6449	7/20/2015	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Brungess, Barbara; Mauch, Robert	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	public relations.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						Email from client discussing legal advice	:	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of	
						from in-counsel (Bak, Hyung/Esq.)	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 6453	7/20/2015	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Gray, John/HDMA	Meehan, Fallon	regarding HDMA public relations.	Privilege	is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
	.,,_	,	,		. ,	5 5 ,		, , , ,	
					Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt,	Email with attachments from client to in	n-	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply.	
					Andrew; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve;	house counsel seeking legal advice		Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	
					Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Rehovsky,	regarding CSRA audit of distribution	Attorney-Client	legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ARDCMDI BRIV 6454	7/24/2015	Mauch, Robert	Madson Gree	Fox Many/Fra					, , ,
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6454	//24/2015	iviaucii, Kobert	Madsen, Greg	Fox, Mary/Esq.	Jeffery; Brown, Greg; Olmo, Marisol	center.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
					Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt,	Email with attachments from client to in	n-	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply.	
1					Andrew; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve;		.		
						house counsel seeking legal advice		Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	ABDC withdraws the paintless design the
					Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Rehovsky,	regarding CSRA audit of distribution	Attorney-Client	legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6455	7/31/2015	Mauch, Robert	Taraschi, Anthony	Fox, Mary/Esq.	Jeffery; Cook, Brent; Walker, Jeff	center.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
						Email chain with attachments between			
						client and in-house counsel (Fox,		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
1						Mary/Esq.) discussing legal advice		communication. Subject of communication involves a	
						regarding CSRA audit of distribution	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 6457	8/18/2015	Mauch, Robert	Droz, Antonio	Mauch, Robert		center.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
	5, 23, 2023		1,	,	1	1	0-		and the produce the document

## Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 20 of 26 PageID #: 1656

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6466  ABDCMDL_PRIV_6473	1/18/2016 Ma		Mauch, Robert Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HD MA	Gray, John/HDMA; Smith, Dale/HD Smith; Moody, David/Mutual Drug; Giacomin, Jon/Cardinal; Couch, Ken; Walchirk, Mark/McKesson; Scherr, Ted/Dak Drug	Voeller, Jan/Dak Drug; Gabay, Kim/Cardinal; Mariano, Karleen/McKesson; Meehan, Fallon; 'Tara Conway, Tara; Watson, Jessica/Mutual Drug Gray, John/HDMA	Email from client discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Masters Pharmaceuticals case. Email with attachments from in-house counsel to client providing legal advice regarding Masters Pharmaceuticals case.	Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document  ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6474	4/29/2016 Ma	auch, Robert	Gray, John/HDMA	Thomas, Al/Sanofi Pasteur; Mauch, Robert; Boyter, Dawn/Glasgow KY; Gielen, Chad/LWDRX; Phillips, Chip/Andanet; Smith, Dale; MacFarlane, Dave/SeaCoast Medical; Ferraro, Louis/Henry Schien; Gray, John/HDMA; Drew, Greg/Value Drug; Foreman, Jeff/Smith Drug; Johnston, Gayle/Cura Script; Giacomin, Jon/Cardinal; Couch, Ken; Lazich, Sam/DMS Pharma; Rodriguez, Lenny/Attain Med; Burns, Maria/Burlington Drug; Walchirk, Mark/McKesson; Smith, Mary/RS Northeast; Faul, Michael/Miami Luken; Dickson, Paul; Rodriguez, Raul/Droguería Betances; Richards, GK; Scherr, Ted/Dak Drug; Schoen, ChryPrescription Supply; Franklin, Scott/Toprx; Shikany, Walter/Health Coalition; Smock, Nick/PBA Health; Szewc, Todd/Keysource Medical		Email attaching memorandum from outside counsel (Hyman Phelps and McNamara) discussing legal advice regarding Public Law 114-145 (S.483).	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6481	5/27/2016 Ma	auch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Moyer, Lauren	May, David; Weissman, Gabriel; Brungess, Barbara; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Norton, Rita	Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media reporting and inquiries.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication to/from in-house counsel is privileged as it related to provision of legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6482	5/31/2016 Ma	auch, Robert	Moyer, Lauren	Clark, Gina; May, David; weissman, Gabriel; Brungess, Barbara; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Norton, Rita		Email chain with attachments between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding Lexington Herald Leader article.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6486	6/16/2016 Ma	auch, Robert	Altrogge, Jeannine	Hua, wen; Coms, Steve; Guttman, Tim; Norton, Rita; Davis, Laura; Peters, Michael; Barry, June; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Danilewitz, Dale	Krikorian, Laz; Senior, David; Frary, James; Morales, Albert; Howell, Peyton; Cleary, Jim ; Marsh, Lawrence; Mauch, Robert	Email with attachments from client to in house counsel seeking legal advice regarding Government Affairs presentation.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6490	6/22/2016 Ma	auch, Robert	Zimmerman, Chris	Brungess, Barbara	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Weissman, Gabriel; Moyer, Lauren; May, David; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Guttman, Tim; Mauch, Robert; Norton, Rita	Redacted email from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) providing legal advice regarding response to media inquiry.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Attoreny involvment appears indirect. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication from in-house counsel is privileged as it contains legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.

## 

		1		1		1	1		,
					Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve;	Email with attachments from client to in	-	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply.	
					Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Delac, Frank; DiCenso, Frank; Hamilton, Greg; Taraschi,	house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6500	6/30/2016	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Anthony; Ford, Stephanie	center.	Privilege	rulings.	and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6504	8/11/2016	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Delac, Frank; Novak, Dawn; Taraschi, Anthony; Strelow, Nancy	Email with attachments from client to in house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
					Andrew; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Ball, Chuck; Miller, Mike; Wilson, Bill; McGuire, Shawn; Jessee, John; Grennon,	Email with attachments from client to in house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution	- Attorney-Client	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6506	9/12/2016	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Christy	center.	Privilege	rulings.	and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6518	10/27/2016	Mauch, Robert	Chrisman, Kelly	Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Collis, Steve; Danilewitz, Dale; Frary, James; Gaddes, Kathy; Guttman, Tim; Howell, Peyton; Cleary, Jim; Mauch, Robert; Park, Sun	Norton, Rita	Email with attachments from client to in house counsel seeking legal advice regarding Government Affairs presentation.	- Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6519	10/27/2016	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita; May, David; Brungess, Barbara; Clark, Gina; Zimmerman, Chris; Chou, John/Esq.; Moyer, Lauren; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Guttman, Tim; Mauch, Robert; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad		Redacted email chain among client and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.)seeking and providing regarding response to media inquiry.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication to/from in-house counsel is privileged as it related to provision of legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6526	11/1/2016	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Howell, Peyton; Zimmerman, Chris; Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Moyer, Lauren; Tallamy, Brad	Redacted email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding messaging document.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication reflects request for legal advice from inhouse counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6527	11/1/2016	Mauch, Robert	Howell, Peyton	Weissman, Gabriel	Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert; Norton, Rita; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; Tallamy, Brad; Pellicano, Debora; Moyer, Lauren	Email with attachments between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6528	11/5/2016	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	Email chain with attachments between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6529	11/6/2016	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Email from in-house counsel to client discussing legal advice regarding role of wholesalers in distribution of controlled substances.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Email from in-house counsel and contains legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6530	11/6/2016	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.	Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	Email chain with attachments between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document without redactions
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6531	11/6/2016	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Norton, Rita	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document without redactions
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6532	11/6/2016	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	Weissman, Gabriel; Norton, Rita; Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert	Redacted email chain between client and in-house counsel regarding ABDC efforts to prevent diversion.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Redacted email contains legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.

## Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 22 of 26 PageID #: 1658

						Email chain between client and in-house			
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6533	11/6/2016	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	counsel discussing legal advice	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6534	11/7/2016	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Barry, Ellen/Cardinal; Morford, Craig/Cardinal; Schechter, Lori/McKesson; Weissman, Gabriel; Hunter, Kristin/McKesson; Callinicos, Sean/Cardinal; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita		Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6535	11/7/2016	Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert		Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6536	11/7/2016	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Norton, Rita; Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert		Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6537	11/7/2016	Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Clark, Gina; Weissman, Gabriel; Chou, John/Esq.	Zimmerman, Chris; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; May, David; Mauch, Robert	Redacted email chain between client and in-house counsel regarding ABDC efforts to prevent diversion.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation. Redacted email contains legal advice from in-house counsel. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6538	11/7/2016	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	John/Esq.; Danilewitz, Dale; Howell, Peyton; Park, Sun; Mauch, Robert; Frary, James; Cleary, Jim; Gaddes, Kathy	Clark, Gina	Email with attachments from client to in house counsel seeking legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	- Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6539	11/7/2016	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6540	11/14/2016	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael; Terrien, Tracy; Pszczolkowski, Andrew; Shute, Lorna	Email with attachments from client to in house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6545	11/21/2016	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Miller, Mike; Wilson, Bill; Stavich, John; Neipp, Peter; Vilums, Brianne Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert, Greene.	Email with attachments from client to in house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546	11/29/2016	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Karen; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael; Maniaci, Philip; Price, William; Taraschi, Anthony; Hinman, Julie	Email with attachments from client to in house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547	12/6/2016	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Ball, Chuck		Email with attachments from client to in house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548	12/9/2016	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Guttman, Tim; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	, Moyer, Lauren	Redacted email among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media reporting and inquiries.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication reflects request for legal advice from inhouse counsel. Description revised to reflect same.

## Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 23 of 26 PageID #: 1659

		1			T	T	1	T	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6554	12/22/2016	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Guttman, Tim; Norton, Rita; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	Putt, Jordan; Romero, Lauren; DeAtley, Danny; Kemper, Jennifer; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett	Email with attachments from client to in- house counsel seeking legal advice regarding WV controlled substances media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6555	12/23/2016	Mauch, Robert	May, David	Norton, Rita; Weissman, Gabriel	John/Esq.; Guttman, Tim; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; Putt, Jordan; Romero, Lauren; DeAtley, Danny; Kemper, Jennifer; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding WV controlled substances media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6556	1/5/2017	Mauch, Robert	Zimmerman, Chris	Weissman, Gabriel; Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Meluso, Ginette	Guttman, Tim; Mattox, Keri; May, David; Moyer, Lauren; Meehan, Fallon; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad	Email with attachments from client to in- house counsel seeking legal advice regarding draft WV Op-Ed.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6560	1/6/2017	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	May, David; Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Meluso, Ginette	Guttman, Tim; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris; Moyer, Lauren; Meehan, Fallon; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad	Email chain with attachments between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding draft WV Op-Ed.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6568	1/12/2017	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Mauch, Robert		Redacted email chain between client and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding response to media inquiry.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication to/from in-house counsel is privileged as it related to provision of legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6569	1/17/2017	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad	Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	Redacted email chain between client and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding response to media inquiry.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication to/from in-house counsel is privileged as it related to provision of legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6570	1/17/2017	Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	Redacted email chain between client and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding response to media inquiry.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication to/from in-house counsel is privileged as it related to provision of legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6571	1/18/2017	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Guttman, Tim; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	Redacted email chain between client and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding response to media inquiry.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication to/from in-house counsel is privileged as it related to provision of legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6576	1/30/2017	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Rehovsky, Jeffery; Boyd, Roger; Harrison, Andy; Ford, Stephanie	Email with attachments from client to in- house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6581	2/9/2017	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Frary, James; Belden, Peter; Byrum, Stan; Marcum, Cathy; Hackel, Sandy; Vilums, Brianne	Email with attachments from client to in- house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document

## Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 24 of 26 PageID #: 1660

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6582	2/10/2017	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Guttman, Tim; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; DeAtley, Danny; Romero, Lauren; Putt, Jordan	Redacted email chain between client and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding response to media inquiry.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication to/from in-house counsel is privileged as it related to provision of legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6583	2/10/2017	Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Zimmerman, Chris	Weissman, Gabriel; Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri; May, David; DeAtley, Danny; Romero, Lauren; Putt, Jordan	Redacted email chain between client and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding response to media inquiry.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication to/from in-house counsel is privileged as it related to provision of legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6592	3/3/2017	Mauch, Robert	Winter, Matthew	Ball, Chuck	Mauch, Robert; Bak, Hyung/Esq.; Biermaas, Claire; Hendrickson, Stephen; Adams, Jenn; Lightfoot, Emily; Massari, Nathaniel; Mullin, Tom; Nightengale, Brian; Odutola, Akin; Rafferty, George; Senior, David; Tremonte, Richard; Webster, Jay; Meluso, Ginette	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding Board of Directors meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6593	3/3/2017	Mauch, Robert	Rafferty, George	Mauch, Robert; Bak, Hyung/Esq.; Ball, Chuck; Biermaas, Claire; Hendrickson, Stephen; Adams, Jenn Lightfoot, Emily; Massari, Nathaniel Mullin, Tom; Nightengale, Brian; Odutola, Akin; Senior, David; Tremonte, Richard; Webster, Jay; Winter, Matthew	, Meluso, Ginette	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding Board of Directors meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6609	3/22/2017	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Guttman, Tim; Mattox, Keri; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Moyer, Lauren; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth; Clark, Gina; DeAtley, Danny; Romero, Lauren; Putt, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	DiCicco, Diana/Tierney; Hummel, Kristin/Tierney; Dodson, Sydney/Tierney	Redacted email chain among client employees and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal strategy regarding responses to media reporting and inquiries.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC maintains the privilege designation but will produce the document in a redacted form. Redacted communication to/from in-house counsel is privileged as it related to provision of legal advice. Description revised to reflect same.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6632	9/14/2017	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel; Clark, Gina; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; Tallamy, Brad	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding HDA Public Policy Committee.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation and will produce the document

## Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 173-7 Filed 02/24/20 Page 25 of 26 PageID #: 1661

				Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert;				
				Gaddes, Kathy; Clark, Gina;				
				Guttman, Tim; Nightengale, Brian;				
				Howell, Peyton; Tremonte, Richard;				
				1 1				
				Horvath, Erin; Reed, Chuck; Bak,				
				Hyung/Esq.; Mattox, Keri; Campbell,				
				Elizabeth/Esq.; Lorenz-Fisher,				
				Susan; Pirouz, Korey; Moyer,				
				Lauren; Gunning, Francesca;				
				Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy,				
				Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth;				
				Webb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew;				
				DeAtley, Danny; Moore, Karlene;				
				Lane, Christine; Meluso, Ginette;				
				Savoca, Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero,				
				Lauren; Putt, Jordan; Zimmerman,				
				Chris; May, David; Casalenuovo,				ARDC maintains the privilege designation
				Christopher/Esq.; Nachman,				ABDC maintains the privilege designation
				Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal	Redacted email chain among client			but will produce the document in a
				Department; Barrett, Kristy;	employees and in-house counsel			redacted form. Redacted communication
				O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin;	(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply.	to/from in-house counsel is privileged as
			Weissman,	Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody;	legal strategy regarding responses to	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	it related to provision of legal advice.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6649	10/13/2017 Ma	uch, Robert	Gabriel	Mullin, Tom; Rafferty, George	media reporting and inquiries.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	Description revised to reflect same.
								·
				Collis, Steve; Clark, Gina; Chou,				
				John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Gaddes,				
				Kathy; Guttman, Tim; Nightengale,				
				Brian; Howell, Peyton; Tremonte,				
				Richard; Horvath, Erin; Reed, Chuck;				
				Bak, Hyung/Esq.; Mattox, Keri;				
				Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Lorenz-				
				Fisher, Susan; Pirouz, Korey; Moyer,				
				Lauren; Gunning, Francesca;				
				Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy,				
				Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth;				
				Webb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew;				
				DeAtley, Danny; Moore, Karlene;				
				Lane, Christine; Meluso, Ginette;				
				Savoca, Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero,				
				Lauren; Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman,				
				Chris; May, David; Casalenuovo,				
				Christopher/Esq.; Nachman,				
				Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal				
				Department; Barrett, Kristy;				
				O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin;				
				Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody;				
				Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen;				
				Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz;	Forest about the transport of the transport			
				Murphy, Bennett; Biermaas, Claire;	Email chain between client and in-house		I amount district the street to discover when a motorial and the street of	
			l	Webster, Jay; Winter, Matthew;	counsel discussing legal advice	l	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply.	ARDC with draws the privilege deciment
			Weissman,	Scheidt, Scott; Senior, David;		Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6744	12/17/2017 Ma	iucn, Robert	Gabriel	Donati, David	update.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document

	,	1	7	•	T	1	T.		T	
					Collis, Steve; Clark, Gina; Chou,					
					John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Gaddes,					
					Kathy; Guttman, Tim; Nightengale,					
					Brian; Howell, Peyton; Tremonte,					
					Richard; Horvath, Erin; Reed, Chuck;					
					Bak, Hyung/Esq.; Mattox, Keri;					
					Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Lorenz-					
					Fisher, Susan; Pirouz, Korey;					
					Esposito, Lauren; Gunning,					
					Francesca; Norton, Rita; Heller,					
					Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie;					
					Mitchell, Beth; Webb, Matthew;					
					Schultz, Andrew; DeAtley, Danny;					
					Moore, Karlene; Lane, Christine;					
					Meluso, Ginette; Savoca, Mia; Wall,					
					Kate; Romero, Lauren; Cupo,					
					Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May,					
					David; Casalenuovo,					
					Christopher/Esq.; Nachman,					
					Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal					
					Department; Barrett, Kristy;					
					O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin;					
					Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody;					
					Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen;					
					Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz;					
					Murphy, Bennett; Biermaas, Claire;		Redacted email between client and in-			
					Webster, Jay; Winter, Matthew;		house counsel discussing legal advice		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply.	
				Weissman,	Scheidt, Scott; Senior, David;		regarding controlled substances media	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ADDCMDL DDIV 6757	ABDCMDL00375090	1/20/2019	Mayah Dahart							, , ,
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757	ABDCMDL00375090	1/30/2018	Mauch, Robert	Gabriel	Donati, David		update.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
							Redacted email among clients			
							reflecting communication seeking			ABDC maintains the privilege designation
							and providing legal advice from in-			but will produce the document with more
							house counsel (Johnson, Scott/Esq.)		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	limited redactions. Redacted
							regarding due diligence review of		communication. Subject of communication involves a	communications between and among
					Chris Zimmerman; Edward		prospective client with a Canadian	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	clients and in-house counsel
ABDCMDL PRIV 6810	ABDCMDL004996	12/19/2008	AmerisourceBergen	Paul Ross	Hazewski		parent.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	seeking/providing legal advice.
	TIBB CHIB ECO 1990	12/17/2000					F		l server y reminger	
							D. I. a. I. a. I. a.			
							Redacted email among clients			
							reflecting communication sent for			
							the purpose of seeking legal advice		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in	
							of counsel (Debbie Swartz, Esq.)		communication. Subject of communication involves a	
							regarding DEA inspection at Corona	Attorney-Client	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
ABDCMDL PRIV 6817	ABDCMDL005006	8/11/2004	AmerisourceBergen	Steve Mays	Eric Cherveney	Greg Madsen	Distribution Center.	Privilege	discovery rulings.	and will produce the document
				1	1			ĭ	· · ·	,
										ABDC maintains the privilege designation
										but will produce the document with more
							Redacted document reflecting legal			limited redactions. Redacted portion of
							advice from in-house counsel		Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	document reflects legal advice from in-
							(Swartz, Debbie/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	house counsel. Description revised to
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6826	ABDCMDL005008	12/19/2007	AmerisourceBergen	1			customer threshold.	Privilege	rulings.	reflect same.
										ABDC maintains the privilege designation
										but will produce the document with more
							D 1 4 11			·
							Redacted document reflecting legal			limited redactions. Redacted portion of
							advice of attorney (Johnson,		Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	document reflects legal advice on in-
							Scott/Esq.) regarding customer	Attorney-Client	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	house counsel. Description revised to
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6840	ABDCMDL005011	12/21/2007	AmerisourceBergen	1		<u> </u>	contract research.	Privilege	rulings.	reflect same.
									Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a	ABDC withdraws the privilege designation
1									,	
									legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery	and will produce the document without
no privilege id	ABDCMDL003693	10/10/2017	AmerisourceBergen				Attached	"Privileged"	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	and will produce the document without redactions